IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE FOURTEENTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI

WRIT PETITION NO : 19115 of 2004

Between:

D. Vijaya Kumari ,W/o Ratnam ,
Yerragondapalem Mandal, Prakasam District

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Joint Collector, Ongole, Prakasam District
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Markapur, Prakasam District
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Yerragondapalem, Prakasam District

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus directing the 1st respondent herein to dispose off the appeal dt 6-10-2004 filed against the order dt 11-6-2004 communicated on 24-9-2004 by declaring the inaction as illegal, arbitrary and violative of rights guaranteed under Article 19 (1)(g) of the constitution of India.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.V.MALLIK

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR CIVIL SUPPLIES

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

The petitioner is the authorized Fair Price shop dealer of Y.Kothapally Village, Yerragondapalem Mandal, Prakasam District. Her authorization was suspended by the 2nd respondent-Revenue Divisional Officer vide proceedings dated 11-06-2004, pending enquiry into the alleged irregularities in distribution of the commodities. Against that, the petitioner preferred an appeal on 06-10-2004, before the 1st respondent-Joint Collector, Prakasham District. Now, this writ petition is filed aggrieved by the inaction on the part of the 1st respondent in disposing of the said appeal.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader appearing for the respondents, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition with a direction to the 1st respondent, to dispose of the appeal pending on his file, which was filed by the petitioner against the order of the 2nd respondent dated 11-06-2004, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period

of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

_____

14-10-2004

vs

To

1 The Joint Collector, Ongole, Prakasam District
2 The RevenueDivisional Officer, Markapur, Prakasam District
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Yerragondapalem, Prakasam District

4 2CCs to the G.P for Civil Supplies, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad(O.U.T).

5 2CD copies